

CALFRESH REQUEST FOR POLICY INTERPRETATION**PI# 18-11**

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Retain a copy for your records and submit via email to CalFresh-PI@dss.ca.gov.

Please note: the policy interpretation provided is based on the unique set of facts presented and should not be assumed to apply in all scenarios.

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	5. DATE OF REQUEST: 01/25/2018	NEED RESPONSE BY: 02/25/2018
2. REQUESTOR NAME:	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Butte County	
3. PHONE NO.: EMAIL:	7. SUBJECT: ESCF	
4. REGULATION CITE(S): ACL 10-32; ACL 16-14; ACL 12-74; 63-301.545	8. REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).	
9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):		

- 1.) When a customer applies for ESCF and is granted with postponed verifications and turns in the verifications after the 30th day, are they eligible to restoration or 2nd 30th day period?
- 2.) When the 30th day has passed to turn in postponed verifications after an ESCF grant must the customer reapply?
- 3.) Are customers who are granted ESCF with postponed verifications and do not provide them by the 30th day eligible to either the second 30th day or restoration within the 30 days after disc?
- Example: Customer applies for ESCF on 3/5/17 and is granted with postponed verifications. The 30th day is 4/4/17 and the customer provides the verifications on 5/2/17. Must the client reapply or are they eligible to the 2nd 30th day or restoration.

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:
- 1.) Customers who are granted ESCF with postponed verifications due are still considered applicants and the 2nd 30 day time frame would apply. Making it 60 days from application date.
- 2.) The customer must turn in the required verifications from the postponed ESCF grant by the 30th day or within the 2nd 30th day or they can choose to reapply, however if they choose to reapply benefits from the new applications would not be issued until the postponed verifications previously requested are provided.
- 3.) They are eligible to the 2nd 30th day for delayed actions because ESCF are considered applicants until postponed verifications are provided and because restoration(ACL 10-32) would prorate benefits from the date it is resolved.

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):
- 1) Correct, customers granted ESCF with postponed verifications are still considered applicants if those verifications are received after the 30th day. As such, they are eligible for restoration and do not need to reapply. If the verifications are not received after the 60th day, the customer is discontinued and must reapply. For example, a customer applies on 2/1/2018 (day zero) and is granted ESCF, with postponed verifications. 2/2/2018 is day one. The client has 60 days, until 4/3/2018, to provide the verifications. On 4/4/2018, the customer is discontinued and must reapply.
- 2) Factually, the answer for this question is the same as #1. The client has 60 days from the date the application is filed to provide the postponed verifications.

Please see the response to #3 on the following page.

FOR CDSS USE

DATE RECEIVED: 1/26/2018	DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: 2/26/2018/HP
-----------------------------	---

CALFRESH REQUEST FOR POLICY INTERPRETATION (Continued)**PI# 18-11**

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Other:		5. DATE OF REQUEST: 01/25/2018	NEED RESPONSE BY: 02/25/2018
2. REQUESTOR NAME:		6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Butte County	
3. PHONE NO.:	EMAIL:	7. SUBJECT: ESCF	
4. REGULATION CITE(S): ACL 10-32; ACL 16-14; ACL 12-74; 63-301.545		8. REFERENCES: <i>(Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references)</i> NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).	

3) In this example, since the customer provided verifications on the 60th and last day of the postponed verification period, they are entitled to restoration and need not reapply. Had the customer provided verifications on 5/3/2017, a new application would have been necessary as that is Day 61.